

C14 Automatic Imaging Telescope Photometry of GJ 1214

GREGORY W. HENRY¹ AND JACOB L. BEAN²

¹*Center of Excellence in Information Systems, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209 USA*

²*Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

ABSTRACT

GJ 1214b is the highest signal-to-noise sub-Neptune for atmospheric studies. Although most previous transmission spectroscopy measurements have revealed a frustratingly featureless spectrum, *JWST* observations are expected to give new insights to this benchmark planet. We have performed photometric monitoring of GJ 1214 (the host star) to provide context for these observations. We find that GJ 1214 entered a period of relatively high brightness during 2021 and 2022. This implies that the *JWST* MIRI/LRS phase curve observation of GJ 1214b in July 2022 was obtained during an epoch of low activity for the spot-dominated host star. Like previous works, we are unable to definitively identify the star’s rotation period. Nevertheless, we confirm that it is likely $\gtrsim 50$ days.

Keywords: Planet hosting stars (1242) — Stellar rotation (1629)

1. INTRODUCTION

The transiting exoplanet GJ 1214b was discovered by Charbonneau et al. (2009) with the M_{Earth} Project array of eight 0.40 m automated telescopes designed to monitor a large number of nearby M dwarfs for transiting exoplanets. They found GJ 1214b to have a planetary mass of $6.55 M_{\oplus}$, a radius of $2.68 R_{\oplus}$, and an orbital period of 1.58 days. Originally classified as a super-Earth, consideration of GJ 1214b in light of the *Kepler* planet demographics (Fulton et al. 2017; Van Eylen et al. 2018) suggests it is better thought of as a sub-Neptune (Bean et al. 2021a). Its low density of 1.9 g/cc implies the presence of a substantial atmosphere (Rogers & Seager 2010). There has been extensive effort to detect this atmosphere using transmission spectroscopy (e.g., Bean et al. 2010; Croll et al. 2011; Bean et al. 2011; Désert et al. 2011; Berta et al. 2012; Fraine et al. 2013; Kreidberg et al. 2014; Kasper et al. 2020; Orell-Miquel et al. 2022; Spake et al. 2022), with the consensus being that the planet has a featureless spectrum due to high-altitude aerosols.

The 2009 M_{Earth} photometry found the star to vary in brightness by 2% on a timescale of several weeks (with a dominant period of 83 days). Charbonneau et al.

(2009) concluded that starspots carried around the star by its rotation was the most likely explanation. Carter et al. (2011) and Kreidberg et al. (2014) observed 31 transits between 2009 and 2013 and found that four transits exhibited brightness anomalies as the planet occulted a starspot, confirming the presence of dark spots as the cause of the star’s brightness variability.

Subsequent studies have confirmed the low-amplitude stellar variability but have not been very successful at pinning down the true stellar rotation period. Berta et al. (2011) analyzed new 2010 M_{Earth} photometry with better sampling and cadence than the 2009 M_{Earth} discovery observations and found a best period of 53 days. However, they cautioned that if GJ 1214 has well-spaced active longitudes, its true rotation period may be a higher multiple of 53 days (e.g., ≈ 100 days).

Narita et al. (2013) monitored GJ 1214 for stellar variability over the relatively short timespan of 78 days in 2012 with the MITSuMe 0.50 m telescope in Japan and found a shorter period of 44.3 days. Additional photometric monitoring in 2012 and 2013 was reported by Nascimbeni et al. (2015) who used the 1.2 m twin robotic telescopes STELLA (STELLAR Activity) located on Tenerife in the Canary Islands. They found possible periods of 83.0, 69.0, and 79.6 days. Mallonn et al. (2018) continued long-term monitoring of GJ 1214 with STELLA to create light curves from 2012 through 2016 primarily in the Johnson *BV* pass bands. Their most

significant signal was 125 ± 5 days for the 2014-2016 $B + V$ data set, which they claimed overrules previous suggestions of a significantly shorter stellar rotation period.

2. OBSERVATIONS

In an attempt to determine the correct rotation period of GJ 1214, we conducted our own photometric observations with the Tennessee State University Celestron 14-inch (C14) automated imaging telescope (AIT) located at Fairborn Observatory in southern Arizona. We acquired 329 good nightly photometric observations (excluding occasional transit observations) during the five observing seasons 2018 through 2022. The observations were made through a Cousins R filter with an SBIG STL-1001E CCD camera. Each nightly observation consists of 3–5 consecutive exposures of the GJ 1214 field of view. The individual frames are co-added and reduced to differential magnitudes in the sense GJ 1214 minus the mean brightness of 13 constant comparison stars in the same field. Further details of our observing and data reduction procedures can be found in [Sing et al. \(2015\)](#).

The nightly observations are plotted as small filled circles in Figure 1. Since GJ 1214 comes to opposition with the Sun on June 11, much of the observing season occurs during our annual Summer Shutdown when all telescopes must be closed from early July to early September due to the “monsoon season” in southern Arizona. Thus, there are gaps in each year’s light curve when no observations can be collected. The 2018 observing season is an exception since our initial observations did not take place until after the 2018 Shutdown. The yearly mean differential observations are also plotted in Figure 1 as the large filled circles and include the observations on both sides of the Summer Shutdown. The uncertainties in the seasonal means are roughly the size of the plot symbols.

The observations are summarized by season in Table 1. The standard deviations of the individual observations from their respective seasonal means are given in column 4 and range from 6.44 to 9.96 mmag. The typical

precision of a single nightly observation with the C14 AIT is 2–3 mmag on good nights (e.g., [Fu et al. 2021](#)), so the standard deviations given in column 4 indicate the presence of low-level, night-to-night brightness variability in GJ 1214 during each observing season. The seasonal means given in column 5 cover a range of 68 mmag, showing a general brightening trend in GJ 1214 of several percent over our five years of observation. Finally, we performed period analyses of the individual yearly light curves using the procedure described in [Wong et al. \(2022\)](#). The resulting best periods are given in column 6 and range between 56.4 and 99.6 days. The period listed for 2018 is particularly uncertain due to the low number of observations. Phase curves of the five observing seasons are plotted with their five individual periods in Figure 2. Peak-to-peak amplitudes are given in each panel and range from 10 to 20 mmag. Like previously published results, we are unable to identify confidently the true stellar rotation period of GJ 1214.

3. CONCLUSION

We can, however, use our photometric results to predict the starspot coverage at the time of the *JWST* MIRI/LRS phase curve observation of GJ 1214b that was taken in July 2022 ([Bean et al. 2021b](#)). [Radick et al. \(2018\)](#) examined the patterns of brightness variation for 72 Sun-like stars and demonstrated that the brightness variability in young, active (and therefore convective) stars is driven by dark spots in the sense that a star is fainter when it is more active (spotted). The combination of Figure 1 and the bottom panel of Figure 2 show that GJ 1214 was near a long-term as well as a short-term brightness maximum. In other words, the star was near starspot minimum at the time of the *JWST* phase curve observation. Continued photometric monitoring would be valuable to provide context for the upcoming NIRCcam transmission spectroscopy observations of [Greene et al. \(2017\)](#), which are currently scheduled for July and August 2023

REFERENCES

- Bean, J. L., Miller-Ricci Kempton, E., & Homeier, D. 2010, *Nature*, 468, 669, doi: [10.1038/nature09596](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09596)
- Bean, J. L., Raymond, S. N., & Owen, J. E. 2021a, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 126, e06639, doi: [10.1029/2020JE006639](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JE006639)
- Bean, J. L., Désert, J.-M., Kabath, P., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 92, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/743/1/92](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/743/1/92)
- Bean, J. L., Kempton, E. M. R., Fu, G., et al. 2021b, *Unlocking the Mysteries of the Archetype Sub-Neptune GJ1214b with a Full-Orbit Phase Curve*, *JWST Proposal*. Cycle 1, ID. #1803
- Berta, Z. K., Charbonneau, D., Bean, J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 736, 12, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/736/1/12](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/736/1/12)
- Berta, Z. K., Charbonneau, D., Désert, J.-M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 747, 35, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/35](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/35)




Figure 1. Nightly Cousins R band photometry of GJ 1214 from the five observing seasons 2018 through 2022 (small circles), acquired with the C14 automated imaging telescope (AIT) at Fairborn Observatory. The star is slightly variable within each observing season over a range of 1-2%. The seasonal means are plotted as the large filled circles and show that GJ 1214b has brightened by several percent over the course of our observations (see Table 1). The time of the *JWST* phase curve observation of GJ 1214b that was obtained July 20 – 22, 2022 by Bean et al. (2021b) is indicated by the dashed line.

Table 1. SUMMARY OF C14 AIT PHOTOMETRY OF GJ 1214

| Observing | Date Range | Sigma | Seasonal Mean | Period |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|
| Season | N_{obs} (HJD - 2,400,000) | (mag) | (mag) | (days) |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (6) |
| 2018 | 22 58386–58439 | 0.00815 | 1.49759 | ≈ 65 :: |
| 2019 | 70 58571–58789 | 0.00983 | 1.49599 | 81.6 ± 1.6 |
| 2020 | 61 58861–59166 | 0.00934 | 1.45758 | 56.4 ± 0.6 |
| 2021 | 67 59219–59497 | 0.00644 | 1.42948 | 57.9 ± 0.6 |
| 2022 | 109 59584–59896 | 0.00996 | 1.43765 | 99.6 ± 1.7 |

Carter, J. A., Winn, J. N., Holman, M. J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 82, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/730/2/82](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/730/2/82)

Charbonneau, D., Berta, Z. K., Irwin, J., et al. 2009, *Nature*, 462, 891, doi: [10.1038/nature08679](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08679)

Croll, B., Albert, L., Jayawardhana, R., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 736, 78, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/736/2/78](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/736/2/78)

Désert, J.-M., Bean, J., Miller-Ricci Kempton, E., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 731, L40, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/731/2/L40](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/731/2/L40)

Fraine, J. D., Deming, D., Gillon, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 765, 127, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/765/2/127](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/765/2/127)

Fu, G., Deming, D., May, E., et al. 2021, *AJ*, 162, 271, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac3008](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac3008)




Figure 2. Cousins R band phase curves of GJ 1214 from 2018 to 2022. Periods for each year are given in the upper left of each panel. Peak-to-peak amplitudes are given in the upper right. Like the results given in the literature, our periods cover a broad range. The arrow in the bottom panel marks the phase of the July 20-22, 2022 *JWST* observation.

- Fulton, B. J., Petigura, E. A., Howard, A. W., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 109, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb)
- Greene, T. P., Beatty, T. G., Rieke, M. J., & Schlawin, E. 2017, *Transit Spectroscopy of Mature Planets*, JWST Proposal. Cycle 1, ID. #1185
- Kasper, D., Bean, J. L., Oklopčić, A., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 160, 258, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/abbee6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abbee6)
- Kreidberg, L., Bean, J. L., Désert, J.-M., et al. 2014, *Nature*, 505, 69, doi: [10.1038/nature12888](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12888)
- Mallonn, M., Herrero, E., Juvan, I. G., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 614, A35, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201732300](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732300)
- Narita, N., Fukui, A., Ikoma, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 144, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/144](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/144)
- Nascimbeni, V., Mallonn, M., Scandariato, G., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A113, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201425350](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425350)
- Orell-Miquel, J., Murgas, F., Pallé, E., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 659, A55, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142455](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142455)
- Radick, R. R., Lockwood, G. W., Henry, G. W., Hall, J. C., & Pevtsov, A. A. 2018, *ApJ*, 855, 75, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaaae3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaaae3)
- Rogers, L. A., & Seager, S. 2010, *ApJ*, 716, 1208, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/716/2/1208](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/2/1208)
- Sing, D. K., Wakeford, H. R., Showman, A. P., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 2428, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2279](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2279)
- Spake, J. J., Oklopčić, A., Hillenbrand, L. A., et al. 2022, *ApJL*, 939, L11, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ac88c9](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac88c9)
- Van Eylen, V., Agentoft, C., Lundkvist, M. S., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 4786, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1783](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1783)
- Wong, I., Chachan, Y., Knutson, H. A., et al. 2022, *AJ*, 164, 30, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac7234](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac7234)